



TAK£500+ EVALUATION AND LEARNING REPORT

FEBRUARY 2026

CONTENTS

Foreword	5
1. Introduction	6
2. Methodology	9
3. Tak£500+ PB Cycle	11
4. How did the project meet its shared purpose?	12
5. Delivering quality PB	20
6. What is the learning for the partnership's next PB process?	22
Appendices	24





Music
Not
Limits



FOREWORD

Participatory Budgeting (PB) continues to play a key role in how our community planning partnership brings decision making closer to residents. Since 2020, through Tak£500+, our partnership has created meaningful opportunities for people to influence how public money is spent to improve wellbeing.

We are proud to present this Evaluation and Learning Report for this fourth Tak£500+ process which once again has highlighted the creativity and dedication of community groups across the borough. Funded projects included heritage initiatives, intergenerational activities, sports, arts, health and wellbeing programmes, and work supporting older people, young people, and those experiencing isolation. Each project shows how the Take 5 Steps to Wellbeing message can be embedded in everyday life to build resilience and strengthen connections. We thank every group that submitted an idea, every volunteer and staff member who supported the events, and every resident who voted.

We also acknowledge the commitment of the Community Planning Partnership's PB Working Group. Their cross sector collaboration bringing together statutory, community and voluntary partners remains a model of effective partnership working.

As a partnership we are committed to learning from our work and making improvements. There are 11 recommendations in this report which reflect feedback from groups, voters and partners, and outline clear actions to strengthen PB as it evolves.

The report highlights the many positive outcomes of Tak£500+. We also recognise the importance of listening to the groups that were not funded and understanding their experiences will help us to ensure a positive experience for all.

Our commitment to embedding PB more widely across services demonstrates our ambition for deeper community participation. Each process has attracted new partners and we welcome their expertise and resources. At its heart, Tak£500+ is about empowerment, shared leadership and community pride and it helps us all to deliver on our shared outcomes.

We look forward to building on this year's learning as we continue our PB journey, working together to create opportunities for people to shape decisions that affect their lives.



Catherine McFarland

Northern Ireland Housing Executive,
Director of Finance

Chair of Shared Leadership & Community
Engagement Sub-Committee



Donna Stewart

Craigavon & Banbridge Volunteer Bureau, Manager

Vice-Chair of Shared Leadership & Community
Engagement Sub-Committee and Vice-Chair of
Community & Voluntary Sector Panel

1. INTRODUCTION


The Tak£500+ Participatory Budgeting (PB) process launched in April 2025 and is the fourth PB process to be taken forward by Armagh, Banbridge & Craigavon Community Planning Partnership since 2020. The project builds on the achievements and learning from the partnership's previous PB processes.

The cumulative figures from the partnership's four PB processes are summarised in the graphic below.

PB FACTS AND FIGURES FROM 2020 - 2025



ALL ideas based on Take 5 Steps to Wellbeing



Tak£500+ enables communities to put forward ideas and directly decide how public funds can be best used to address locally defined needs. This year 156 project ideas were put forward, 144 projects were up for public vote and 3585 people from across the borough voted to decide which ideas were funded. 116 projects have been delivered around the Take 5 Steps to Wellbeing public health message.

Involving communities in decisions is important to ABC Community Planning Partnership, both as an outcome itself and also as a way to realise other outcomes in the community plan. For this reason, the partnership has embedded public participation in decision making into its plans, strategies, and governance structures. The Tak£500+ Participatory Budgeting project contributes to both the Connected Community¹ and Healthy Community² Outcomes in *Connected*³, the community plan for the borough, and is an action prioritised in the partnership's Community Engagement Strategy⁴. The project is also reflected in other local strategies, such as the Age Friendly Action Plan.

19% OF PEOPLE IN THE BOROUGH FEEL THAT THEY HAVE AN INFLUENCE, AND THIS HAS BEEN DECREASING OVER TIME

One of the statistics used by the partnership to measure progress towards the Connected Community Outcome is the percentage of people who feel they have an influence in decisions taken in their neighbourhood. Latest figures show that only 19% of people in the borough feel that they have an influence, and this has been decreasing over time⁵. PB is a democratic innovation which goes beyond traditional consultation. It puts the final decisions about budget allocations into the hands of the public and has been identified as a way to address these low levels of people who feel they can influence decisions locally.

This report shows sustained support for PB in the borough. While there was a drop in overall applications compared to the last process, there were only slightly fewer groups taking part in the decision events this time. This was because the drop off rates of groups who were invited to the decision events but did not attend continued to decrease. Feedback also suggests that the timing of the decision events in late June and early July, into the summer holidays, may have affected levels of participation.

Tak£500+ was taken forward by the PB Working Group, with oversight by the Community Planning Strategic Partnership through its Shared Leadership & Community Engagement Sub-Committee. The 28 strong membership of the PB Working Group is drawn from six statutory and eight community & voluntary sector partner organisations. Effective partnership working has been a feature of each PB process and is explored later in the report.

AIMS OF THE EVALUATION AND LEARNING REPORT

The aims of the evaluation and learning report are:

- to assess how the project has met the shared purpose set by the PB Working Group
- to capture learning to inform future PB projects
- to share the learning with a wider audience and to encourage more partners to get involved

1 Connected Community: Everyone has opportunities to engage in community life and shape decisions – we have a strong sense of community belonging and take pride in our area.
2 Healthy Community: People are making positive lifestyle choices. They are more resilient and better equipped to cope with life's challenges.
3 Connected: A Community Plan for Armagh City, Banbridge & Craigavon Borough see: <https://www.armaghbanbridgecraigavon.gov.uk/wp-content/uploads/2025/08/CONNECTED-COMMUNITY-PLAN-updated-2025.pdf>
4 Community Engagement Strategy Involving Communities - Armagh City, Banbridge and Craigavon Borough Council (armaghbanbridgecraigavon.gov.uk)
5 Northern Ireland Life and Times Survey (ARK) 2020-2022

Foundati

Creating Change Together

True participati



2. METHODOLOGY

The Evaluation and Learning Report followed a similar methodology to previous years. The evaluation and learning report is informed by a number of data sources including project statistics; mapping, survey responses from voters and applicants and reflections from PB Working Group members. The evaluation took place between August and January 2026.

Voters: The introduction of the digital vote meant that all voters provided feedback on their awareness of what is happening in their local community and the importance of having a say in how public money is spent along with their age range and post code.


Successful groups: Successful groups were surveyed twice. Survey 1 gathered feedback on the PB Process and was issued following the outcome of the decision-making events. This survey received a response rate of 41%, (47/116) groups responding. The second survey was issued during the Project Delivery phase to capture the impact of the funded projects. This survey had a slightly higher return rate of 45% (52/116 groups).

Groups who did not receive the funding: Surveys from unsuccessful groups received a response rate of 18%, with five of the 28 groups responding. 33% (2/6) of groups who withdrew from the process provided feedback. As the response rate was so low, the figures are not included with the other surveys and the feedback from these groups is considered separately.

Partner feedback: Workshops were held with the PB Working Group to reflect on the process, including how they met the Engagement Standards and PB Charter.


This report has been prepared by the Community Planning Team in council.





3. TAK£500+ PB CYCLE

Tak£500+ follows the six stage PB cycle developed by the ABC PB Working Group.



Any group of at least three people aged eight and over could form a community group and apply for £1,000 to run a project with the support of a sponsor. Projects were to deliver at least one of the Take 5 Steps to Wellbeing. Anyone aged eight and over could vote at the decision events to decide which projects received the money. The projects also delivered on many other themes such as heritage, intergenerational work, Good Relations and physical activity.

4. HOW DID THE PROJECT MEET ITS SHARED PURPOSE?

This report has found that, like the partnership's previous PB projects, Tak£500+ met its shared purpose. Feedback from groups, voters and project partners was overwhelmingly positive. Although feedback from groups who did not get the money was less positive. Below is a summary of the feedback from voters and the groups who received the money. As there were only six survey returns from unsuccessful groups and two from those who withdrew, their feedback is discussed in a separate section.

The PB Working Group set the shared purpose for the project as:

- to empower all communities and support a sense of ownership for investment and decision-making
- to better meet needs, as defined by the local community
- to promote innovation, inclusion and community connections
- to promote the Take 5 public health message
- to strengthen partnership working
- to increase people's pride in place including connecting people and communities to heritage in all its forms.

TO EMPOWER ALL COMMUNITIES AND SUPPORT A SENSE OF OWNERSHIP FOR INVESTMENT AND DECISION-MAKING



3585 people from across the borough attended the decision-making events and voted to allocate **£114,100** to **116 local projects** which they wanted to see happen under the Take 5 public health message.



3 decision making events were held showcasing **144 community projects**.



Any three people aged eight and over could apply to bring the Take 5 public health message to life.



80% (116) of projects represented at the events were funded through the community vote. There were 28 unsuccessful projects.



156 applications were received.
9% of applications were from non-constituted groups.




Tak£500+ attracted a new audience with **48% (1629)** of voters voting for the first time.

150 projects were invited to attend the decision-making events.


Children and young people were able to vote along with adults.

Nearly a fifth of voters were aged between 8 and 15 years old. Those aged 16 to 34 were least likely to take part.



Most voters lived in rural areas.

Overall the Urban-Rural Split of Voters⁶ was Urban 40% (1267) and Rural 60% (1967).



There were more applications from urban areas received and most of the funded projects were from urban areas.

For successful projects, there was a slightly higher percentage **53%** (62) were from urban areas, with **47%** (54) from rural areas.

Unsuccessful projects there was a higher percentage from rural areas with **61%** (17) compared to urban areas with **39%** (11).

52% (81) of overall applications received were from an urban area and **48%** (75) were from rural areas.

Voters and community groups surveyed think it is important to have a say in how public money is allocated.

98% (3341) of voters.

98% (46) of successful groups

Successful community groups felt more empowered by having a voice in how resources are allocated and increased their confidence as a group.

96% (45) agreed that they felt more empowered by having a voice.

98% (46) agreed that attending the decision-making event had increased confidence and energised their group.

85% (40) agreed that they felt more empowered by creating positive change in the community.

Successful groups would like to take part in another PB process.

90% (47) of successful groups would take part in another PB process.

6 See Appendix 3.1-3.7 for mapping of distribution of voters and projects across the district.

TO BETTER MEET NEEDS, AS DEFINED BY THE LOCAL COMMUNITY

116 community-led health and wellbeing projects were delivered across the borough.

98% (51) of successful groups agreed that they were supported to address needs in the community and make a positive change.

“Loved seeing how people care about what takes place in their community and how money is spent.”

“Giving spaces where groups can share what ideas they have, space to let people choose where they think the money would best fit.”

“Our whole team were delighted and proud of their efforts being recognised by the locals - as they are the people we serve.”

“You gave small groups in the community an opportunity to grow through the funding.”

TO PROMOTE INNOVATION, INCLUSION AND COMMUNITY CONNECTIONS

Voters felt more aware of what is happening in the community from attending the decision making event.

96% (3287) feel more aware about what is happening in the community

Groups feel that they have raised awareness of their group and are more aware of what is happening in their area.

98% (46) of successful groups agreed they have raised awareness of their group.

98% (46) of successful groups are more aware of what is happening in their area.

Successful groups agreed they had created and strengthened connections.

91% (43) of successful groups agreed they had created new connections with other groups or individuals at the decision-making event.

96% (45) strengthened connections with other groups or individuals at the decision-making event.

Successful groups agree that they had created stronger connections with the Council and service providers.

91% (43) agreed that they created stronger connections with Council and service providers through being involved in Tak£500+.

Successful groups agreed they had improved Good Relations and help bring people together from different backgrounds together.

98% (51) of successful groups agreed that they a Strengthened Good Relations in the community.

90% (47) Helped to bring people from different backgrounds together.

This project has brought people together in a common interest who didn't know each before including folk who have lived in the village all their lives and others who are new to the area.

TO STRENGTHEN PARTNERSHIP WORKING

A key enabler of Tak£500+ was the strength, commitment and positive partnership working demonstrated by the PB Working Group.



28 partners from across seven thematic sectors.



Nine partners pooled approximately **£114,100** for a PB Fund. Council contributed to the pooled budget and provided project management and running costs.



All partners supported the **planning and delivery** of the process.



The PB Working Group ranked themselves as **'Very Good' (5)** under the Working Together Engagement Standard.



100% of PB Working Group respondents feel they are better equipped to plan future PB processes.

Further feedback from the PB Working Group is explored in section 5 of the report.



TO PROMOTE THE TAKE 5 PUBLIC HEALTH MESSAGE



Connect



Keep learning



Be active



Take notice



Give

Voters and groups are more aware of the Take 5 public health message and will incorporate the Take 5 message into their daily routine as a result of taking part.

82% (2800) of voters were more aware and will incorporate the steps into their daily life.

100% (47) of successful groups were more aware of the Take 5 message.

Successful groups felt that they had implemented the Take 5 public health message through delivery of their project.

100% (52) of successful groups agreed that they had improved mental and physical health and well-being through delivering their project.

94% (49) of successful groups agreed that they had reduced Isolation and Loneliness.

94% (49) of successful groups agreed that they had developed new skills.

51% (59) of successful projects were sport or physical activity related.



Applicants and voters recognise that a wide range of activities contribute to their wellbeing.

51% (59) of successful projects were sport or physical activity related.

55% (64) of successful projects were heritage.

47% (54) of successful projects were Over 50's (Age Friendly) related.

66% (77) of successful projects were connected to Younger People.

47% (55) of successful projects were Intergenerational.

13% (15) successful projects selected 'Other' this included themes such as early years and families, men's mental health, individuals with additional needs and the LGBTQIA+ community.



TO INCREASE PEOPLE'S PRIDE IN PLACE INCLUDING CONNECTING PEOPLE AND COMMUNITIES TO HERITAGE IN ALL ITS FORMS

The involvement of Heritage Lottery and the Heritage Places programme has highlighted the relevance of heritage to the Take 5 Steps to Wellbeing.

"Maintain the Heritage focus. This magnifies the benefits to our community of the Take500+ project."


"We felt very grateful for receiving funding particularly as this was a heritage project."

55% (64) of successful projects had heritage outcomes.

67% (35) of successful projects agreed that as a result of this funding they will look at how they can further develop heritage projects in their communities.

23% (11) of successful projects agreed that since 'Heritage' had been added to the application form in 2025 their approach had changed, and it made them consider how 'heritage' could be incorporated into their project.





GROUPS WHO DIDN'T RECEIVE THE FUNDING

For this PB process the partnership was able to fund 80% of projects that attended the decision events in each area. Whilst this was an increase on the previous process, 28 project ideas this year were not funded. The response rate to the survey from those who weren't successful in the vote was low at 18% or five groups. This section has been included as it warrants further discussion.

Four of the five respondents recognised the value of having a say in how public money is allocated, however, they were less likely to feel empowered or confident as a result of taking part in this PB process. One group felt that their involvement increased their confidence and energised their group, three neither agreed nor disagreed and one group disagreed.

Despite this, some of the five respondents reported that their groups did experience positive outcomes, including increased awareness of what is happening in their community and raising the profile of their organisation. While some agreed that they formed new and strengthened connections within the community, a smaller number felt that the process helped build stronger relationships with the Council or service providers. One group talked about the opportunity cost of taking part as one of their members missed a day of work to attend the decision event.

Respondents who did provide feedback reported a mixed view of whether they would take part in a future Tak£500+ process. Data from the previous processes shows that of the 69 unsuccessful groups from the first three rounds, 25 groups (36%) did reapply to future processes. Over half of these groups were subsequently successful in winning enough votes to be funded.

One to one support was offered to each unsuccessful group. However, overall feedback suggests that additional measures are needed to improve the experience of groups who do not receive the money.

Six groups chose not to continue through to the decision-making events. This represented a drop-off rate of 4%. Interestingly, a reduction of 9% from the previous year (13% drop-off) and a 19% from the year before (23% drop-off). In this process 144 (96%) of groups continued to the next stage and attended one of three market stall decision making events.

33% (2/6) of groups who withdrew from the process also provided feedback. Both groups would like to see more PB happening across the Council area. Both groups agreed the information about the process and how to get involved was clear, easy to understand and well communicated. The main reason for withdrawing from the process was day or timing of Market Stall Event and availability of members to cover the stall. One group talked about being offered to attend one of the other events but felt this wasn't an option as they were too far away from their catchment area.

"Groups got the opportunity to show and advertise their group."

"Not sure if there was a lot of support."

"We were offered to attend one of the other events but felt that as they were so far away from our own catchment that it would be practically pointless. We withdrew but will consider doing this next year if the date is suitable to the team."

"Feedback on what else we could do to be successful."

"We were disappointed as our participation actually cost our group money and one of our members gave up a morning's work to allow her to attend."

5. DELIVERING QUALITY PB

The partnership is committed to delivering quality engagement and has benchmarked PB against recognised standards. As in previous PB projects, the partnership has reflected on the quality of the process. The Standards for Community Engagement,⁷ adopted in the partnership’s Community Engagement Strategy, and the PB Charter⁸ for the region have been drawn on by the PB Working Group to reflect and assess the quality of the Tak£500+ PB process.

The PB Working Group scored the process highly across the features and elements of both quality mechanisms as illustrated in the table and star diagram below.


Standards for Community Engagement and PB Charter⁹ Scores



7 <https://www.scdc.org.uk/what/national-standards>

8 www.participatorybudgetingworks.org/charter

9 Where there was a clear connection between the features they were considered together



'Building Relationships' scored 5 by PB Working Group Members

As in previous processes, the PB Working Group have identified areas for improvement, including supporting the process to be even more inclusive and further refining key messaging around Tak£500+ and support for unsuccessful groups.

This commitment to continuous improvement has led to positive feedback from voters and applicants within the PB process.

"Another successful project which delivers so much and empowers local communities."

"I get so much from this process. Its a feel good experience and great to see what amazing programmes are delivered as a direct result."

"I have been involved in the PB process for the last few years and have really learned a lot from the experience. It has provided the opportunity to network with other partners as well as meet and learn about a range of community and voluntary sector organisations and groups."



5. WHAT IS THE LEARNING FOR THE PARTNERSHIP'S NEXT PB PROCESS?

Based on feedback from groups, voters and partners the report contains 11 recommendations for the PB Working Group and the Community Planning Strategic Partnership for future PB processes. The process in its fourth cycle builds on the partnerships commitment to continuous improvement, many of the recommendations from the previous processes have been built into the design and fabric of the project.

The recommendations for the PB Working Group relate to ongoing work to make the process more inclusive, to increase understanding of groups and voters at the decision events and enhancing support to groups not receiving the funding. Recommendations for the Community Planning Strategic Partnership concern facilitating the pooling of partner budgets, building capacity and understand on scaling up grant making PB and to mainstream PB into service delivery.


Recommendations for PB Working Group

1. Continue to prioritise engagement with groups underrepresented in Tak£500+ and develop an action plan to increase diversity.
2. Explore hybrid voting options which enable both in-person and online voting.
3. Develop a walkthrough video of the decision making day to clearly explain the market stall format and online voting process.
4. Consider the use of e-forms to streamline the return of acceptance, banking and other required documentation, enabling faster and more efficient grant payments.
5. Expand and enhance the support provided to groups not offered the funding following the announcement of the results.

Recommendations for the Community Planning Strategic Partnership

6. Further consideration should be given to develop a Memorandum of Understanding to reduce bureaucracy and delay in pooling resources between partners for the future PB process.
7. Ensure the Partnership Agreement developed for Tak£500+ is signed and returned by all partners.
8. Explore governance considerations associated with securing business-sector sponsorship for Tak£500+.
9. Expand knowledge and understanding of mainstreaming PB across the partner.
10. Continue to look for opportunities to scale up PB, both in grants and mainstreaming across the partnership.
11. As part of the wider review of the Community Plan partners to agree which workstream will have oversight of Tak£500+.





APPENDIX 1: PB WORKING GROUP MEMBERSHIP

Alison Beattie, ABC Council
Annette Blaney, ABC Council
Austin Kelly, Clanmil Housing
Ashleigh Campbell, ABC Council
Caoilin Boyle, ABC Council
Clive Bowles, Portadown Rugby Football Club
Debbie Smith, Southern Health and Social Care Trust
Donna Stewart, C&B Volunteer Bureau
Elaine Devlin, ABC Council
Elaine Gillespie, ABC Council
Emma O'Carroll, ABC Council
Frances Haughey, ABC Council
Jennie Dunlop, ABC Council
Judith Meakin, Arbour Housing
Karen Ross, PSNI

Mark Doran, ABC Council
Michelle Hazlett, Northern Ireland Housing Executive
Michelle Markey, ABC Council
Patrice McCrory, Arbour Housing
Patrick O'Toole, Sport NI
Raquel Rodrigues Keenan, Volunteer Now
Sarah Cargill, ABC Council
Sophie Lester, ABC Council
Simon Sloan, Department for Communities (DfC)
Stephanie Rock, ABC Council
Stephen Fields, West Armagh Consortium
Stephen Harland, Ark Housing Association
Tracey Powell, Southern Health and Social Care Trust
Vicki Titterington, Linking Generations



APPENDIX 2: GROUPS ATTENDING THE MARKET STALL AND DECISION MAKING EVENTS

Banbridge Decision Making and Market Stall

Bannville Hotel, Banbridge
Saturday 21 June 2025
10.00am - 2.00pm

1st Loughbrickland Boys Brigade
60+Luncheon Club Loughbrickland
ABC Road Safety Committee
ABC Seniors Network
Banbridge Mens Shed
Banbridge Twinning Association
Bannside Friendship Group
Carnew Rural Society
CAUSE
Changing Lives NI
County Armagh Drum Majors
Drumgath Ladies Group
Friends of St. Josephs and St. James's Primary School
Inland Waterways Association of Ireland Newry and Portadown Branch
Inland Waterways Association of Ireland Newry and Portadown Branch
Irish stone Monsters
Laurencetown Community Centre
LLT Women's Group
Monday Artists
New-bridge Integrated College
Poyntzpass Fair Day Committee
Poyntzpass Whist
St Joseph's Hall Committee
St. Joseph & St. James's Primary School
St Patrick's College: Bereavement Group
The 'Den', Laurencetown
Via Wings
Via Wings
Young at Heart, Annaclone
Youth Initiatives Banbridge

Armagh Decision Making and Market Stall

Armagh City Hotel
Saturday 28 June 2025
10.00am - 2.00pm

Abbey Street, Armagh Young at Heart
ABC Road Safety Committee
Advocacy VSV
An Port Mór CCÉ
Annaghmore Regeneration Group
Apple Blossom 50+ Club
Armagh Athletic Club
Armagh Floral Art Society
Armagh GAA Health and Wellbeing
Armagh Men's Shed
Armagh Over 60's Brolly Club
Armagh Rural Transport
Ballyhegan Davitts GFC
Ballyhegan Davitts GFC
Ballymacnab Live Here Love Here
Brave Buddies
Cill Chluana Children's Rosary Group
City of Armagh RFC
City of Armagh, RFC Mini Girls Section
Clady Community Association
Clady GFC
Clady GFC
Collegeland Camogie Club
Collegeland GAA Football
Corran Parent & Toddler Group
Derrynoose Children's Prayer Group
Derrynoose Great Oaks Club
Friends of St Francis of Assisi, Keady
Friends of St Francis of Assisi, Keady-
Friends of St Michaels, Clady
Include Youth (Give and Take Project)
Inclusive Ability Trust NI

Inhale the Truth
Keady 4 All
Keady Hurling Club
Killylea Methodist Youth Club
Macha Alive
Madden Raparees GAC
Madden Raparees LGFA
Middletown Friendly Club
Mullacreevie Development Association
Na Piarasigh Eanach Mór (Annaghmore GFC)
Pearse Óg GAA 4 ALL
PTFA St Patrick's HS
Reach Mentoring
Saints & Scholars Integrated Primary Schools
Parents' Council
St Brenda's Camogie Club, Ballymacnab
St John's, Eglis, Parent Support Group
St John's, Eglis, Eco Club
St Joseph's Camogie Club, Madden
St Mary's Granemore Ladies GFC
St. Mary's P.S., Granemore
The Derrynoose Heritage Group
The Friends of Clea Primary School
The Wednesday Together Club
Triple A
Tullysaran – O'Connells GAC
Tullysaran – O'Connells GAC
Tullysaran Community Association
Tullysaran Community Association
Vibe
Vibe Go
Westenders

Craigavon Decision Making and Market Stall


South Lake Lesiure Centre
Saturday 5 July 2025
2.00pm - 5.00pm

7th Dromore Craigavon Scouting Ireland
ABC Road Safety Committee
Aghagallon Community Centre D
Aghagallon Community Centre
Annaghmore Men's Shed
Bleary Primary School
Bleary Primary School PTA
Cairde Éireann


Clan Na Gael Health & Wellbeing
Clan Na Gael Health & Wellbeing
Clann Éireann Youth Club
Craigavon Child Centre/ Zer-8-Teen
Cranagh Community Garden
Derrytrasna Breakfast & Afterschool Club
Derrytrasna Playgroup
Friends of St Mary's P.S., Derrytrasna
Friends of St Patrick's, Derrynaseer
Friends of St Teresa's
Friends of St. Patrick's Aghacommon
Just A Chat
Killicomaine Junior High School
Lough Neagh Heritage Boating Association
Loughgall and District Improvement Association
Lurgan & North Armagh George Russell Festival
Society
Lurgan Amateur Swimming Club
Lurgan Deaf Club
Lurgan Pride
Lurgan Swimming Club
Mae Murray Foundation
Magheralin Community Association
Music Not Limits
Ozanam Men's Shed
Peatlands Playgroup
Photography Group U3A Upper Bann
Portadown Men's Shed
Portadown Wellness Centre
Reach Mentoring
Resurgence Strongman/woman Club
Sarsfield Gaelic Football Club
Sarsfields Youth Club
Sean Treacy's Baby and Toddler Group
Shankill Parish Sharing Association, Community
Outreach Group
South Lough Neagh Regeneration Association
St John the Baptist P.S.
St. Francis P.S. School Council
Step with Annette
The Hygiene Bank (Craigavon Branch)
Tír na nÓg GAA
Totz N Teens/ Zero-8-Teen
Upper Bann U3A, The University of the Third Age
Well Kept Waringstown


APPENDIX 3: MAPPING

3.1: Tak£500+ Banbridge Decision-making Event, distribution of voters by post-code




3.2: Tak£500+ Armagh Decision-making Event, distribution of voters by post-code







3.3: Tak£500+ Craigavon Decision-making Event, distribution of voters by post-code




3.4: Tak£500+ Overall, distribution of successful, unsuccessful and withdrawn project groups by post-code



3.5: Tak£500+ Banbridge Decision-making Event, distribution of successful, unsuccessful and withdrawn project groups by post-code




3.6: Tak£500+ Armagh Decision-making Event, distribution of successful, unsuccessful and withdrawn project groups by post-code





3.7: Tak£500+ Craigavon Decision-making Event, distribution of successful, unsuccessful and withdrawn project groups by post-code



TAKE500+

YOUR COMMUNITY, YOUR VOICE, YOUR CHOICE!


Funded pool provided by:



Armagh City
Banbridge
& Craigavon
Borough Council



HSC Public Health
Agency
Project supported by the PHA



HSC Southern Health
and Social Care Trust
Quality Care - for you, with you



Heritage
Fund



QUALITY HOMES
Ark
HOUSING
STRONG COMMUNITIES



Police Service
of Northern Ireland



Arbour
HOUSING



DfC
Department
for Communities
www.communities-ni.gov.uk



Northern Ireland
Executive
www.northernireland.gov.uk



T:buc
Changing for the better, together



Housing
for all

Housing
Executive

Also supported by:



COMMUNITY
& VOLUNTARY
SECTOR PANEL
ARMAGH, BANBRIDGE, CRAIGAVON



PSP Policing & Community
Safety Partnership
making Armagh, Banbridge
& Craigavon safer



sport
Northern Ireland



ea Education
Authority



CYPSP
Children & Young People's Strategic Partnership

Tak£500+ is a project of ABC Community Planning Partnership